

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/540,580	06/24/2005	Masayasu Senda	12065-0023	6436
22/02	7590 12/10/2007	EXAMINER		
CLARK & BR	NT AVENUE, NW		KOSLOW, CAROL M	
SUITE 250 WASHINGTON, DC 20005			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20003		1793	
	•			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		•	12/10/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/540,580	SENDA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	C. Melicsa Koslow	1793			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cause the application to become ABANDONE!	l. ely filed he mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
<ul> <li>1)  Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 Not</u></li> <li>2a)  This action is <b>FINAL</b>. 2b)  This</li> <li>3)  Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under Extended</li> </ul>	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4)  Claim(s) 4-7 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5)  Claim(s) 4 and 5 is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 6 and 7 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	•				
Application Papers	·				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the construction of the constructi	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary ( Paper No(s)/Mail Dai 5) Notice of Informal Pa	e			

Application/Control Number:

10/540,580 Art Unit: 1793

This action is in response to applicants' amendment of 2 November 2007. The amendments to the claims have overcome the art rejections, the objections to the claims and the 35 USC 112 rejections. The amendments to the specification have overcome the objections with respect to "alkali earth" and "neutralizing". Applicant's arguments with respect to "rubber-base" and how the process reduces the content of chlorine have been fully considered and are persuasive. Thus these objections have been withdrawn.

Claims 6 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claims contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventors, at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

The claims include new matter and thus fail to meet the 35 USC 112 written description requirement. The specification teaches the annealing step gives the ferrite powder a pH of 10-12. The claimed range of "not less than 10" includes pH values greater than 12 and thus is new matter. The only teach of the chlorine content after the annealing step is found in example 1 and is 0.055 wt%. This single point does not support the claimed range of "0.055 wt% or more". Thus this range is new matter.

Claims 6 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

These claims refer to "the last ferrite magnetic powder". It is unclear what is meant by this. The specification does not refer to a last ferrite powder. The claimed and disclosed process

Application/Control Number:

10/540,580 Art Unit: 1793

treats and an aqueous dispersion of the only ferrite powder with sulfur acid so as to form a ferrite with a pH of less than 6 and a chlorine content of 0.05 wt% or less and would not produce a "last ferrite powder".

Claims 4 and 5 are allowable over the cited art of record for the reasons given in the previous action.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Melissa Koslow whose telephone number is (571) 272-1371. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:00 AM to 3:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jerry Lorengo, can be reached at (571) 272-1233.

The fax number for all official communications is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

10/540,580 Art Unit: 1793 Page 4

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

cmk December 7, 2007 C. Melissa Koslow Primary Examiner Art Unit 1793